

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,832	05/04/2001	Katsuakira Moriwake	450108-4484.2	450108-4484.2 2942 EXAMINER	
20999 7	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•		•	. 2174		
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/200	DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/849,832	MORIWAKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sajeda Muhebbullah	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresp ndence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 143-148 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 143-148 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dijected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 8/24/2004.
- 2. Claims 143-148 are pending in this application. Claims 143 and 146 are independent claims. In the Amendment, claims 1-142 were cancelled and claims 143-148 were added. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 143 and 146 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klingler et al. ("Klingler," US 5,404,316).

As per claim 143, Klingler teaches an editing system for editing a plurality of clips to produce an edit resultant clip, comprising:

editing means for edit processing said plurality of clips on the basis of clip management data defining the edit processing performed on each of said plurality of clips to produce said edit resultant clip (col.5, lines 2-15);

managing means for managing said plurality of clips on the basis of link information indicating a tree structure for linking said plurality of clips to produce said edit resultant clip (col.7, lines 64-68; col.8, lines 1-12); and

control means for modifying the edit processing performed on one of said plurality of clips in producing said edit resultant clip (col.1, lines 32-39) and automatically updating said clip

management data and link information for each of said plurality of clips in accordance with the modified edit processing (col.1, lines 33-34; col.2, lines 43-44; col.5, lines 46-63).

Independent claim 146 is similar in scope to independent claim 143, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 144 and 147 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klingler et al. ("Klingler," US 5,404,316) in view of Duffy et al. ("Duffy", US 5,339,393).

As per claim 144, Klingler teaches storing of the clip management data and link information in a storage memory but does not disclose this storage to be a database. Duffy teaches an editing system where a database is used to store clip information (Duffy, col.2, lines 19-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Duffy's teaching with Klingler's system in order to provide an alternatively efficient means of storage.

Claim 147 is similar in scope to claim 144, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

7. Claims 145 and 148 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klingler et al. ("Klingler," US 5,404,316) in view of Slye et al. ("Slye", US 5,261,820).

As per claim 145, although Klingler teaches the editing system wherein said control means automatically updates said clip management data and link information for each of said plurality of clips, Klingler does not teach updating data and link information in accordance with enable/disable flags corresponding to each of said plurality of clips. Slye teaches a method of editing a computer simulation wherein flags are set to indicate the update of views (col.5, lines 16-21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Slye's teaching with Klingler's system in order to easily indicate only those clips which were modified.

Claim 148 is similar in scope to claim 145, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued the following:

a) While Klingler does disclose an apparent hierarchical structure in Figure 2. it does not discuss automatically updating information throughout the hierarchy when a clip is modified. This means the user must manually step through the editing processing again after each modification in order to regenerate the resultant clip.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Per a) Klingler does teach the automatic update of the information regarding the resultant clip after editing of the resultant clip to update those areas effected by the modification (col.1, lines 33-34; col.2, lines 43-44; col.5, lines 46-63).

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Communications

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Sajeda Muhebbullah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4065. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday/Thursday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm (EST) and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as

follows:

(703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

(703) 746-9915 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Sajeda Muhebbullah Patent Examiner Art Unit 2174 Bustine Kincaid

SORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2133